RECEIVED CENTRAL FAX CENTER

Doc Code: AP.PRE.REQ

DEC 2 7 2006

PTO/SB/33 (07-05)
Approved for use through xx/xx/200x. OMB 0651-00xx

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number. **Docket Number (Optional)** PRE-APPEAL BRIEF REQUEST FOR REVIEW 102.0001-12000 I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the **Application Number** United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to 'Mail Stop AF, Commissioner for December 19, 2003 10/740.747 Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450* [37 CFR 1.8(a)] First Named Inventor Gary Karlin Michelson Signature Art Unit Examiner Typed or printed Michael A. Brown 3772 name . Applicant requests review of the final rejection in the above-identified application. No amendments are being filed with this request. This request is being filed with a notice of appeal. The review is requested for the reason(s) stated on the attached sheet(s). Note: No more than five (5) pages may be provided. I am the Spome Allutio applicant/inventor. assignee of record of the entire interest. Thomas H. Martin See 37 CFR 3.71. Statement under 37 CFR 3.73(b) is enclosed. (Form PTO/SB/96) Typed or printed name attorney or agent of record. 330-877-0700 34,383 Registration number _ Telephone number attorney or agent acting under 37 CFR 1.34. December 27, 2006 Registration number if acting under 37 CFR 1.34 Date NOTE: Signatures of all the inventors or assignees of record of the entire interest or their representative(s) are required.

This collection of information is required by 35 U.S.C. 132. The information is required to obtain or rotain a bonotit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.11, 1.14 and 41.6. This collection is estimated to take 12 minutes to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this bordon, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. GEND TO: Mail Stop AF, Commissioner for Patenta, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

If you need assistance in complating the form, call 1-800-PTO-9199 and select option 2.

Submit multiple forms if more than one signature is required, see below.

forms are submitted.

S

"Total of

RESPONSE UNDER 37 C.F.R. 1.116 EXPEDITED PROCEDURE EXAMINING GROUP 3772

PATENT Attorney Docket No. 102.0001-12000 Customer No. 22882

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

SECEIVED

			TENTHAL PAX CENTE
In re Application of:)	Confirmation No.: 4978	
Gary Karlin Michelson)		DEC 27 2006
Serial No.:. 10/740,747)	Group Art Unit: 3772	
Filed: December 19, 2003)	Examiner: Michael A. Brown	
For: IMPLANT WITH LOCKING	j		
THREAD CONFIGURATION FOR	ý		
INSERTION BETWEEN BONE	j		
STRUCTURES)		
	•		

Mail Stop AF Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

PRE-APPEAL BRIEF REQUEST FOR REVIEW

In reply to the Final Office Action of October 10, 2006, Applicant submits the following remarks for consideration by the Members of the pre-appeal brief conference.

I. Brief Background

The application includes two independent claims, claims 1 and 19, generally drawn to a device and an implant, respectively. Claims 1, 10-15, 17-28, 30 and 31 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b), and claims 16 and 29 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a). The rejection of claims 1, 10-15, 17-28, 30 and 31 under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b), and the rejection of claims 16 and 29 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) are the subject of this Request for a Pre-Appeal Conference.

Application No. 10/740,747
Pre-Appeal Brief Request For Review dated December 27 2006
Reply to Final Office Action of October 10, 2006

II. Clear Errors

- (1) The Examiner's rejection of claims 1, 10-15, 17-28, 30 and 31 under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 5,026,373 to Ray ("Ray '373"), and the Examiner's the rejection of claims 16 and 29 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ray '373 in view of U.S. Patent No. 4,961,740 to Ray ("Ray '740") are erroneous because:
- (a) support for a device having an elongated body having an outer surface with "a helical thread formed on at least a portion of said outer surface, said thread including at least one deviation adapted to resist backward rotation of said elongated body" according to claim 1 is found on page 12, lines 23-25, of Applicant's specification, which indicates that "threads 53 are locking threads having a series of interjections, the ends of which are blunted and twisted so as to resist unscrewing;"
- (b) support for an implant having a body having an exterior surface with "at least one surface projection extending from said exterior surface, said at least one surface projection...being configured to resist backward rotation of said body" according to claim 19 is also found on page 12, lines 23-25, of Applicant's specification, which indicates that "threads 53 are locking threads having a series of interjections, the ends of which are blunted and twisted so as to resist unscrewing;" and
- (c) given the support for claims 1 and 19 in Applicant's specification, and the earliest effective filing date of the present application being June 13, 1988, Ray '373 and Ray '740 are not prior art to the present application because they both have an earliest effective filing date October 17, 1988, which is after the earliest effective filing date of the present application.

III. Conclusion

In view of the foregoing remarks, it is respectfully submitted that the claims are patentable. Therefore, it is requested that the Members of the Pre-Appeal Brief Conference reconsider the outstanding rejections in view of the preceding comments. Issuance of a timely Notice of Allowance of the claims is earnestly solicited.

Application No. 10/740,747
Pre-Appeal Brief Request For Review dated December 27 2006
Reply to Final Office Action of October 10, 2006

To the extent any extension of time under 37 C.F.R. § 1.136 is required to obtain entry of this reply, such extension is hereby respectfully requested. If there are any fees due under 37 C.F.R. §§ 1.16 or 1.17 which are not enclosed herewith, including any fees required for an extension of time under 37 C.F.R. § 1.136, please charge such fees to our Deposit Account No. 50-3726.

. Respectfully submitted,

MARTIN & FERRARO, LLP

Thomas H. Martin Registration No. 34,383

Dated: December 27, 2006

1557 Lake O'Pines Street, NE Hartville, Ohio 44632

Telephone: (330) 877-0700 Facsimile: (330) 877-2030